arXiv:hep-th/0612006v1 1 Dec 2006

General form of the deformation of the Poisson superbracket on (2,2)-dimensional superspace

S.E. Konstein* and I.V. Tyutin†‡

I.E.Tamm Department of Theoretical Physics,
P. N. Lebedev Physical Institute,
119991, Leninsky Prospect 53, Moscow, Russia.

Abstract

Continuous formal deformations of the Poisson superbracket defined on compactly supported smooth functions on $\mathbb{R}^2$ taking values in a Grassmann algebra $\mathbb{G}^2$ are described up to an equivalence transformation.

1 Introduction

In the present paper, we finalize finding the general form of the $*$-commutator in the case of a Poisson superalgebra of smooth compactly supported functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n_+}$ taking values in a Grassmann algebra $\mathbb{G}^{n_-}$. We solve this problem for the case $n_+ = n_- = 2$ and show that in this case the Poisson superalgebra has an additional deformation comparing with other cases considered in [1] and in [2]. The proposed analysis is essentially based also on the results of the papers [3] by the authors, where the first and the second cohomology spaces with coefficients in the adjoint representation of the Poisson superalgebra are found.

It is interesting to note that anti-Poisson superalgebra based on vector fields with polynomial coefficients also has an additional deformation at superdimension $(2, 2)$ [4].

1.1 Main definitions

Here we recall main definitions.

*E-mail: konstein@lpi.ru
†E-mail: tyutin@lpi.ru
‡This work was supported by the RFBR (grants No. 05-01-00996 (I.T.) and No. 05-02-17217 (S.K.)), and by the grant LSS-1578.2003.2.

1.1.1 Poisson superalgebra $\mathcal{P}$

Let the field $\mathbb{K}$ be either $\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{D}$ denote the space of smooth $\mathbb{K}$-valued functions with compact support on $\mathbb{R}^2$. This space is endowed by its standard topology. We set

$$\mathbf{D} = \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathbb{G}^2, \quad \mathbf{E} = C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^2) \otimes \mathbb{G}^2,$$

where $\mathbb{G}^2$ is the Grassmann algebra with 2 generators. The generators of the Grassmann algebra (resp., the coordinates of the space $\mathbb{R}^2$) are denoted by ξ^α, $\alpha = 1, 2$ (resp., x^i, $i = 1, 2$). We shall also use collective variables z^A which are equal to x^A for $A = 1, 2$ and are equal to ξ^{A-2} for $A = 3, 4$. The spaces $\mathbf{D}$ and $\mathbf{E}$ possess a natural grading which is determined by that of the Grassmann algebra. The parity of an element f of these spaces is denoted by $\varepsilon(f)$.

The Poisson bracket is defined by the relation

$$\{f, g\}(z) = f(z)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^B}g(z) = -(-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}\{g, f\}(z), \tag{1}$$

where the symplectic metric $\omega^{AB} = -(-1)^{\varepsilon_A\varepsilon_B}\omega^{BA}$ is a constant invertible matrix. For definiteness, we choose it in the form

$$\omega^{AB} = \begin{pmatrix} \omega^{ij} & 0 \\ 0 & \lambda_\alpha\delta^{\alpha\beta} \end{pmatrix}, \quad \lambda_\alpha = \pm 1,\ i, j = 1, 2,\ \alpha, \beta = 1, 2\,,$$

where ω^{ij} is the canonical symplectic form (if $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{C}$, then one can choose $\lambda_\alpha = 1$). The Poisson superbracket satisfies the Jacobi identity

$$(-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}\{f, \{g, h\}\}(z) + \mathrm{cycle}(f, g, h) = 0, \quad f, g, h \in \mathbf{E}. \tag{2}$$

By Poisson superalgebra $\mathcal{P}$, we mean the space $\mathbf{D}$ with the Poisson bracket (1) on it. The relations (1) and (2) show that this bracket indeed determines a Lie superalgebra structure on $\mathbf{D}$.

The integral on $\mathbf{D}$ is defined by the relation

$$\bar{f} \stackrel{\mathrm{def}}{=} \int \mathrm{d}z\, f(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^2} \mathrm{d}x \int \mathrm{d}\xi\, f(z),$$

where the integral on the Grassmann algebra is normed by the condition $\int \mathrm{d}\xi\, \xi^1\xi^2 = 1$.

1.1.2 Cohomologies of $\mathcal{P}$

The space $\mathcal{C}_p(L, V)$ of p-cochains consists of all multilinear super-antisymmetric mappings from L^p to V.

Nilpotent differentials d_1^{ad} mapping $\mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})$ to $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})$ and d_2^{ad} mapping $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})$ to $\mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{E}, \mathbf{E})$ have the form

$$\begin{aligned}
&d_1^{\mathrm{ad}}M_1(z|f, g) = \{f(z), M_1(z|g)\} - (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}\{g(z), M_1(z|f)\} - M_1(z|\{f, g\}),\\
&d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}M_2(z|f, g, h) =\\
&\quad = (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}\left[(-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}(\{f(z), M_2(z|g, h)\} + M_2(z|f, \{g, h\})) + \mathrm{cycle}(f, g, h)\right].
\end{aligned}$$

Let $\Lambda \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R})$ be a function such that the function $\frac{d}{dx}\Lambda$ has a compact support, $\Lambda(-\infty)=0$, and $\Lambda(+\infty)=1$,

$$\Theta(x|f) \stackrel{def}{=} \int du\delta(x_1-u_1)\theta(x_2-u_2)f(u), \tag{3}$$

$$\tilde{\Delta}(x^1|f) \stackrel{def}{=} \int du\delta(x^1-u^1)f(u). \tag{4}$$

It is easily to prove that linear mapping Ξ,

$$\Xi(x|f) \stackrel{def}{=} \Theta(x|f) - \tilde{\Delta}(x^1|f)\Lambda(x^2),$$

maps $\mathbf{D}$ to $\mathbf{D}$.

Let $\mathbf{Z} = \mathbf{D} \oplus \mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{D})$, where $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{D})$ is a centralizer of $\mathbf{D}$ in $\mathbf{E}$. Evidently, $\mathcal{C}_{\mathbf{E}}(\mathbf{D}) \simeq \mathbb{K}$.

The following Theorem is proved in [3]:

Theorem 1.1.

Let the bilinear mappings m_1, m_3, and m_5 from $\mathbf{D}^2$ to $\mathbf{D}$ be defined by the relations

$$m_1(z|f,g) = f(z)\left(\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A}\omega^{AB}\frac{\partial}{\partial z^B}\right)^3 g(z), \tag{5}$$

$$m_3(z|f,g) = \mathcal{E}_z f(z)\bar{g} - (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}\mathcal{E}_z g(z)\bar{f}, \tag{6}$$

$$m_5(z|f,g) = m_{51}(z|f,g) + m_{52}(z|f,g) + m_{53}(z|f,g) + m_{54}(z|f,g), \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
m_{51}(z|f,g) &= f(z)\Delta(x|g) - \Delta(x|f)g(z),\\
m_{52}(z|f,g) &= 2\Theta(x|f)\partial_2 g(z) - 2\partial_2 f(z)\Theta(x|g),\\
m_{53}(z|f,g) &= -2\{f(z),\Psi(x|g)\} + 2\{g(z),\Psi(x|f)\},\\
m_{54}(z|f,g) &= \Xi(x|\partial_2 fg - f\partial_2 g),
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\mathcal{E}_z \stackrel{def}{=} 1 - \frac{1}{2}z\partial_z, \tag{8}$$

$$\Delta(x|f) \stackrel{def}{=} \int du\delta(x-y)f(u),$$

$$\tilde{\Theta}(x^1|f) \stackrel{def}{=} \int du\theta(x^1-y^1)f(u),$$

$$\Psi(x|f) \stackrel{def}{=} \tilde{\Theta}(x^1|f)\Lambda(x^2),$$

and $z=(x^1,x^2,\xi^1,\xi^2)$, $u=(y^1,y^2,\eta^1,\eta^2)$.

Let V^3 be the subspace of $\mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D})$ generated by the cocycles m_1, m_3 and m_5.

Then there is a natural isomorphism $V^3 \oplus (\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{Z}) \simeq H^2_{\mathrm{ad}}$ taking $(M_2,T) \in V^3 \oplus (\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{Z})$ to the cohomology class determined by the cocycle

$$M_2(z|f,g) + m_\zeta(z|f,g),$$

where

$$m_\zeta(z|f,g) = \{\zeta(z),f(z)\}\bar{g} - \{\zeta(z),g(z)\}\bar{f}, \tag{9}$$

and even function $\zeta \in \mathbf{E}$ belongs to the equivalence class T.

If $\zeta^1 \in \mathbf{E}$ and $\zeta^2 \in \mathbf{E}$ belong to the same equivalence class of $\mathbf{E}/\mathbf{Z}$ then $\zeta^1 - \zeta^2 = (\zeta^1 - \zeta^2)^D + c_{\zeta^1-\zeta^2}$ where $(\zeta^1 - \zeta^2)^D \in \mathbf{D}$ and $c_{\zeta^1-\zeta^2} \in \mathbb{K}$. In this case $m_{\zeta^1} - m_{\zeta^2}$ is coboundary because $m_{\zeta^1} - m_{\zeta^2} = d_1^{\mathrm{ad}} m_1$, where $m_1(f) = (\zeta^2 - \zeta^1)^D \bar{f} \in \mathcal{C}_1(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$.

Thus, the general solution of the equation $d_2^{\mathrm{ad}} M_2(z|f,g,h) = 0$ has the form

$$M_2(z|f,g) = c_1 m_1(z|f,g) + c_3 m_3(z|f,g) + c_5 m_5(z|f,g) + m_\zeta(z|f,g) + d_1^{\mathrm{ad}} b^D(z|f,g), \quad (10)$$

where $b^D(f,g) \in \mathbf{D}$ and $\zeta \in \mathbf{E}/\mathbf{Z}$.

1.1.3 Deformations of topological Lie superalgebras

In this paper we find formal deformations of $\mathcal{P}$. Let $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$ be the ring of formal power series in $\hbar^2$ over $\mathbb{K}$, and $\mathcal{P}[[\hbar^2]]$ be the $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$-module of formal power series in $\hbar^2$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{P}$.

A (continuous) formal deformation of $\mathcal{P}$ is by definition a $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$-bilinear separately continuous Lie superbracket $C(\cdot,\cdot)$ on $\mathcal{P}[[\hbar^2]]$ such that $C(f,g) = \{f,g\} \mod \hbar^2$ for any $f, g \in \mathcal{P}[[\hbar^2]]$. Obviously, every formal deformation C is expressible in the form

$$C(f,g) = \{f,g\} + \hbar^2 C_1(f,g) + \hbar^4 C_2(f,g) + \ldots, \quad f,g \in L, \quad (11)$$

where C_j are separately continuous skew-symmetric bilinear mappings from $\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$ to $\mathbf{D}$ (2-cochains with coefficients in the adjoint representation of $\mathcal{P}$). We will denote the bilinear form $\{f,g\}$ as $C_0(f,g)$. Formal deformations C^1 and C^2 are called equivalent if there is a continuous $\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$-linear operator $T = \mathrm{id} + \hbar^2 T_1 + \hbar^4 T_2 + \ldots : \mathbf{D}[[\hbar^2]] \to \mathbf{D}[[\hbar^2]]$ such that $TC^1(f,g) = C^2(Tf, Tg)$, $f, g \in \mathbf{D}[[\hbar^2]]$.

2 Formulation of the results

For any $\varkappa \in \mathbb{K}[[\hbar]]$, such that $c_1 \stackrel{def}{=} \frac{1}{6}\hbar^2\varkappa^2 \in \hbar^2\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$, the Moyal-type superbracket

$$\mathcal{M}_\varkappa(z|f,g) = \frac{1}{\hbar\varkappa} f(z) \sinh\left(\hbar\varkappa \frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial z^A} \omega^{AB} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^B}\right) g(z) \quad (12)$$

is skew-symmetric and satisfies the Jacobi identity and, therefore, gives a deformation of the initial Poisson algebra. For $\zeta \in \hbar^2 E[[\hbar^2]]/\mathbf{Z}[[\hbar^2]]$, $c_1 \in \hbar^2\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$, we set

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1,\zeta}(z|f,g) = \mathcal{M}_\varkappa(z|f - \zeta\bar{f}, g - \zeta\bar{g}),$$

If ζ^1 and ζ^2 belong to the same equivalence class of $\mathbf{E}[[\hbar^2]]/\mathbf{Z}[[\hbar^2]]$ then the deformations $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,\zeta^1}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,\zeta^2}$ are equivalent [1], [2].

Now we can formulate the main result of the present paper.

Theorem 2.1. *Let bilinear forms m_1, m_3, m_ζ and m_5 are defined as in Theorem 1.1. Let bilinear forms σ_3, σ_ζ and B_ζ are defined as follows:*

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_3(f,g) &= 4\widetilde{\Theta}(g)\left(\Xi(f)\partial_2\Lambda - \Xi(f\partial_2\Lambda)\right) - 4\widetilde{\Theta}(f)\left(\Xi(g)\partial_2\Lambda - \Xi(g\partial_2\Lambda)\right),\\
\sigma_\zeta(f,g) &= A_\zeta(f)\overline{g} - A_\zeta(g)\overline{f},\\
&\quad A_\zeta(f) = f\Delta(\zeta) - \Delta(f)\zeta + \Xi(\partial_2 f\zeta - f\partial_2\zeta) + 2\partial_1\zeta\partial_2\Lambda\widetilde{\Theta}(f) +\\
&\qquad +2\partial_2\zeta\Lambda\Xi(f) - 2\partial_2 f\int\theta(x_2-y_2)\theta(y_2)\delta(x_1-y_1)\zeta(u))\mathrm{d}u,\\
B_\zeta(f,g) &= 4\left(\widetilde{\Theta}(f)\overline{g} - \widetilde{\Theta}(g)\overline{f}\right)\left[\Xi(\zeta\partial_2\Lambda) - \partial_2\Lambda\int\theta(x_2-y_2)\theta(y_2)\delta(x_1-y_1)\zeta(u))\mathrm{d}u\right].
\end{aligned}
$$

Then every continuous formal deformation of the Poisson superalgebra $\mathcal{P}$ is equivalent either to the superbracket

$$\mathcal{N}_{c_1,\zeta}(z|f,g), \tag{13}$$

or to the superbracket

$$C^{(3)}_{c_3,\zeta}(z|f,g) = \{f(z),g(z)\} + m_\zeta(z|f,g) + c_3 m_3(z|f,g), \tag{14}$$

or to the superbracket

$$C^{(5)}_{c_5,\zeta}(z|f,g) = \{f(z),g(z)\} + m_\zeta(z|f,g) + c_5[m_5(z|f,g) - \sigma_\zeta(z|f,g)] + c_5^2[B_\zeta(z|f,g) - \sigma_3(z|f,g)], \tag{15}$$

where $\zeta \in \hbar^2\mathbf{E}[[\hbar^2]]/\mathbf{Z}[[\hbar^2]]$ is even and c_1, c_3, $c_5 \in \hbar^2\mathbb{K}[[\hbar^2]]$.

We will use the decomposition

$$c_1 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} c_{1s}\hbar^{2s}, \quad c_3 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} c_{3s}\hbar^{2s}, \quad c_5 = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} c_{5s}\hbar^{2s}, \quad \zeta = \sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\zeta_s\hbar^{2s} \tag{16}$$

and partial sums

$$c_{1[k]} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} c_{1s}\hbar^{2s}, \quad c_{3[k]} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} c_{3s}\hbar^{2s}, \quad c_{5[k]} = \sum_{s=1}^{k} c_{5s}\hbar^{2s}, \quad \zeta_{[k]} = \sum_{s=1}^{k}\zeta_s\hbar^{2s} \tag{17}$$

3 Jacobiators

Let p, q be bilinear forms. Jacobiators are defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J(p,q) &\stackrel{def}{=} (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}\left((-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}p(f,q(g,h)) + q(f,p(g,h)) + \mathrm{cycle}(f,g,h)\right),\\
J(p,p) &\stackrel{def}{=} (-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}\left((-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(h)}p(f,p(g,h)) + \mathrm{cycle}(f,g,h)\right).
\end{aligned}
$$

Evidently, $J(p,q) \in \mathcal{C}_3(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D})$, if $p,q \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D})$.

If $m_0(f,g) = \{f,g\}$ then $J(p,m_0) = d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}p$.

Sometimes we will use notations $J_{ab} \stackrel{def}{=} J(m_a,m_b)$ for Jacobiators of coboundaries.

One can derive the following relations by direct calculations:

$$\begin{aligned} J(m_3,m_\zeta)=J(m_3,m_3)=J(m_{\zeta^1},m_{\zeta^2}) &= 0,\\ J(m_\zeta,m_5) &= d_2^{\rm ad}\sigma_\zeta,\\ J(m_5,m_5) &= d_2^{\rm ad}\sigma_3,\\ J(m_5,\sigma_3)=J(m_{\zeta^1},\sigma_{\zeta^2})=J(\sigma_3,\sigma_3)=J(\sigma_{\zeta^1},\sigma_{\zeta^2}) &= 0,\\ J(m_\zeta,\sigma_3)+J(m_5,\sigma_\zeta) &= d_2^{\rm ad}B_\zeta,\\ J(\sigma_3,\sigma_\zeta)+J(m_5,B_\zeta) &= 0,\\ J(m_{\zeta^1},B_{\zeta^2})=J(\sigma_{\zeta^1},B_{\zeta^2})=J(\sigma_3,B_\zeta)=J(B_{\zeta^1},B_{\zeta^2}) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

Besides,

$$\begin{aligned} J(m_1,m_\zeta) &= d_2^{\rm ad}\mu_\zeta,\\ J(m_1,m_5) &= d_2^{\rm ad}\nu+I_{1,5}, \end{aligned}$$

$$J(\mu_{\zeta^1},\mu_{\zeta^2})=J(m_{\zeta^1},\mu_{\zeta^2})=0, \tag{19}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_\zeta(z|f,g) &= m_1(f,\zeta)\bar g-m_1(g,\zeta)\bar f,\\ \nu(z|f,g) &= \partial_1^2\Xi(x|f\partial_2^3g)+2\partial_2^3f(z)\partial_1^2\Theta(x|g)+2m_1(z|f,\Psi(g))-(-1)^{\varepsilon(f)\varepsilon(g)}(f\leftrightarrow g), \end{aligned}$$

and $I_{1,5}$ is local form, which is described in Appendix 1.

Proposition 3.1. *Local trilinear form $I_{1,5}$ belongs to nontrivial cohomology class, i.e. it can not be represented in the form $I_{1,5}=d_2^{\rm ad}S$ with some bilinear form S. Equivalently, the equation $d_2^{\rm ad}S=\alpha I_{1,5}$ has a solution if and only if $\alpha=0$.*

The proof presented in Appendix 2.

4 The proof of Theorem 2.1

First of all let us note that the bilinear forms $C^{(3)}_{c_3,\zeta}$ and $C^{(5)}_{c_5,\zeta}$ defined in Theorem 2.1 are indeed the deformations.

This statement follows from the relations (18).

Further we solve the equation

$$J(C,C)=0 \tag{20}$$

by its decomposition in orders of $\hbar^2$.

As $C=\sum_0^\infty\hbar^{2i}C_i$, $C_0(f,g)=\{f,g\}$, this decomposition gives

$$d_2^{\rm ad}C_s+\sum_{1\le p\le s/2}J(C_p\,,C_{s-p})=0. \tag{21}$$

For $s=1$, Eq. (21) reduces to

$$d_2^{\rm ad}C_1(z|f,g,h)=0,$$

and has the solution described by Exp. (10),

$$C_1(z|f,g) = c_{11}m_1(z|f,g) + c_{31}m_3(z|f,g) + c_{51}m_5(z|f,g) + \\ +m_{\zeta_1}(z|f,g) + d_1^{\rm ad}b_1^D(z|f,g),$$

and after similarity transformation eliminating b_1^D,[1] C_1 acquires the form

$$C_1(z|f,g) = c_{11}m_1(z|f,g) + c_{31}m_3(z|f,g) + c_{51}m_5(z|f,g) + m_{\zeta_1}(z|f,g).$$

If $c_{11} = c_{31} = c_{51} = 0$, then the next order of Eq. (20) gives $d_2^{\rm ad}C_2 = 0$ due to relations (18), and

$$C_2(z|f,g) = c_{12}m_1(z|f,g) + c_{32}m_3(z|f,g) + c_{52}m_5(z|f,g) + m_{\zeta_2}(z|f,g).$$

Evidently, if $C_i = m_{\zeta_i}$ for $1 \le i \le k-1$ then the next order of Eq. (20) gives $d_2^{\rm ad}C_k = 0$.

Let $k \ge 1$ be such, that

$$C_i = m_{\zeta_i} \tag{22}$$

for $1 \le i \le k-1$ and

$$C_k = c_{1k}m_1 + c_{5k}m_5 + c_{3k}m_3 + m_{\zeta_k}, \tag{23}$$

where at least one of the constants c_{1k}, c_{3k} and c_{5k} is not zero.

If such k does not exist, then the deformation has the form $C(f,g) = \{f,g\} + m_{\zeta(\hbar^2)}(z|f,g)$, which is the partial form of any of the deformations (13), (14), (15).

Let such k does exist.

Lemma 4.1. *Only one of the constants c_{1k}, c_{3k} and c_{5k} is nonzero.*

The statement of this Lemma follows from Propositions 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, which are formulated and proved below.

Consider the equation (20) in the degrees $k+1$, $k+2$, ... , $2k-1$ of decomposition in $\hbar^2$ taking in account (18) and (19):

$$d_2^{\rm ad}(C_{k+1} + c_{5k}\sigma_{\zeta_1,5} + c_{1k}\mu_{\zeta_1}) = 0,$$

which implies

$$C_{k+1} = -c_{5k}\sigma_{\zeta_1} - c_{1k}\mu_{\zeta_1} + c_{1,k+1}m_1 + c_{5,k+1}m_5 + c_{3,k+1}m_3 + m_{\zeta_{k+1}}.$$

In the same way, for $k < s < 2k$:

$$C_s = c_{1s}m_1 + c_{3s}m_3 + c_{5s}m_5 - \sum_{u+v=s}\hbar^{2u+2v}c_{5u}\sigma_{\zeta_v} - \sum_{u+v=s}\hbar^{2u+2v}c_{1u}\mu_{\zeta_v}.$$

Represent C in the form

$$C = \mathcal{N}_{c_{1[2k-1]},\zeta_{[2k-1]}} + c_{5[2k-1]}m_5 + c_{3[2k-1]}m_3 - c_{5[2k-1]}\sigma_{\zeta_{[2k-1]}} + \hbar^{2k}C'_{2k} + O(\hbar^{2k+2}),$$

where $C'_{2k} = C_{2k} - (\mathcal{N}_{c_{1[2k-1]},\zeta_{[2k-1]}} - c_{5[2k-1]}\sigma_{\zeta_{[2k-1]}})|_{2k}$, and the notation $F(\hbar^2)|_s$ extracts the coefficient at $\hbar^{2s}$: $F(\hbar^2) = \sum \hbar^{2s}F(\hbar^2)|_s$.

[1] The similarity transformation with $T = {\rm id} + \hbar^2T_1 + ...$, where $T_1(f) = -b_1^D(f)$, eliminates b_1^D. Below we will omit all b_i^D, which can be eliminated by similarity transformations.

Consider the terms of $2k$-th degree in (20):

$$d_2^{\rm ad} D_{2k} + c_{1k}c_{3k}J_{1,3} + c_{1k}c_{5k}I_{1,5} + c_{3k}c_{5k}J_{3,5} = 0 \tag{24}$$

where

$$D_{2k} = C'_{2k} + c_{5k}^2\sigma_3 + \sum_{p+q=2k} c_{5p}\sigma_{\zeta_q} + c_{1k}c_{5k}\nu \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D}).$$

Proposition 4.1. $c_{3k}c_{5k} = 0$.

Proof. Consider Eq. (24) in the following domain

$$[z \cup \mathrm{supp}(h)] \cap [\mathrm{supp}(f) \cup \mathrm{supp}(g)] = \mathrm{supp}(f) \cap \mathrm{supp}(g) = \varnothing,$$
$$f(z) = f_1(x^1)f'(x^2,\xi^1,\xi^2),\ g(z) = g_1(x^1)g'(x^2,\xi^1,\xi^2).$$

Here the short notation $z \cap U$ or $z \cup U$ ($z = (x,\xi)$) means $V_x \cap U$ or $V_x \cup U$ correspondingly where V_x is some vicinity of x. Define $\overline{f'}$ as $\overline{f'} = \int f'(x^2,\xi^1,\xi^2)\mathrm{d}x^2\mathrm{d}\xi^2\mathrm{d}\xi^1$.

In this domain, we have[2]

$$\hat{J}_{1,3} = \hat{I}_{1,5} = 0,$$
$$\hat{J}_{3,5}(z|f,g,h) = \{h(z), \hat{\sigma}_2(x|f,g)\},$$

where

$$\sigma_2(x|f,g) = \sigma_{2|1}(x|f,g) + \sigma_{2|2}(x|f,g),$$
$$\sigma_{2|1}(x|f,g) = [\tilde{\Theta}(x^1|g)\bar{f} - \tilde{\Theta}(x^1|f)\bar{g}]x^2\partial_2\Lambda(x^2) \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D}),$$
$$\sigma_{2|2}(x|f,g) = [\tilde{\Delta}(x^1|g)\bar{f} - \tilde{\Delta}(x^1||f)\bar{g}]x^1\Lambda(x^2) \notin \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D}),$$

and Eq. (24) takes the form

$$\{h(z), (\hat{D}'_{2k} + c_{3k}c_{5k}\hat{\sigma}_{2|2})(x|f,g)\} = 0, \tag{25}$$
$$D'_{2k} = D_{2k} + c_{3k}c_{5k}\sigma_{2|1} \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{D}).$$

It follows from Eq. (25)

$$\partial_2(\hat{D}'_{2k} + c_{3k}c_{5k}\hat{\sigma}_{2|2})(x|f,g) = 0,$$

which gives after integrating over x^2

$$c_{3k}c_{5k}[g_1(x^1)\overline{g'}\bar{f} - f_1(x^1)\overline{f'}\bar{g}] = 0$$

and so $c_{5k}c_{3k} = 0$. ■

Proposition 4.2. *If* $c_{3k} = 0$ *then* $c_{1k}c_{5k} = 0$.

Proof. If $c_{3k} = 0$, then Eq. (24) acquires the form

$$d_2^{\rm ad} D_{2k} + c_{1k}c_{5k}I_{1,5} = 0$$

and $c_{1k}c_{5k} = 0$ according to Proposition 3.1. ■

Proposition 4.3. *If* $c_{3k} \neq 0$ *then* $c_{1k} = c_{5k} = 0$.

[2] Here and below the sign $\hat{}$ over form means that we consider the restriction of the form on the domain under consideration.

Proof. If $c_{3k} \neq 0$, then according to Proposition 4.1 $c_{5k} = 0$ and Eq. (24) acquires the form

$$d_2^{\mathrm{ad}} C_{2k} + c_{1k} c_{3k} J_{1,3} = 0 \tag{26}$$

It is shown in [5], [1] that Eq. (26) has a solution if and only if $c_{1k} c_{3k} = 0$. So $c_{1k} = 0$. ■

Thus, we have proved Lemma 4.1.

Now, it remains to consider 3 cases separately:

1. $c_{1k} \neq 0$, $c_{3k} = c_{5k} = 0$,
2. $c_{3k} \neq 0$, $c_{1k} = c_{5k} = 0$,
3. $c_{5k} \neq 0$, $c_{1k} = c_{3k} = 0$.

and to show that these cases lead to deformations $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,\zeta}$, $C^{(3)}_{c_3,\zeta}$ and $C^{(5)}_{c_5,\zeta}$ correspondingly.

Proposition 4.4. *Let $c_{1k} \neq 0$, $c_{3k} = c_{5k} = 0$ and let $l > k$ be such that*

$$C_s = m_{\zeta_s}$$

for $s < k$,

$$C = \mathcal{N}_{c_{1[s]},\zeta_{[s]}} + O(\hbar^{2s+2})$$

for $k \leq s < l$,

$$C = \mathcal{N}_{c_{1[l]},\zeta_{[l]}} + O(\hbar^{2l+2}) + \hbar^{2l}(c_{3l} m_3 + c_{5l} m_5).$$

Then $c_{3l} = c_{5l} = 0$.

Proof. Acting in the same manner as for deriving the Eq. (24), we obtain that the terms of degree $l + k$ in the decomposition of Eq. (20) give the equation:

$$d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}(C_{k+l} + C'_{k+l}) + c_{1k} c_{3l} J_{1,3} + c_{1k} c_{5,l} J_{1,5} + c_{5,l} J_{5,\zeta_k} = 0, \tag{27}$$

where $C'_{k+l} \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ is some bilinear form built from known lower order terms. Eq. (27) is the partial case of Eq. (24) and gives $c_{1k} c_{3l} = c_{1k} c_{5l} = 0$, which implies $c_{3l} = c_{5l} = 0$. ■

In such a way this case leads to the deformation $\mathcal{N}_{c_1,\zeta}$.

Proposition 4.5. *Let $c_{3k} \neq 0$, $c_{1k} = c_{5k} = 0$ and let $l > k$ be such that*

$$C_s = m_{\zeta_s}$$

for $s < k$,

$$C_s = c_{3s} m_3 + m_{\zeta_s}$$

for $k \leq s < l$,

$$C_l = c_{3l} m_3 + m_{\zeta_l} + c_{1l} m_1 + c_{5l} m_5.$$

Then $c_{1l} = c_{5l} = 0$.

Proof.

Consider the terms of degree $l + k$ in the decomposition of Eq. (20).

$$d_2^{\mathrm{ad}} C_{k+l} + c_{3k} c_{1l} J_{1,3} + c_{3k} c_{5,l} J_{3,5} + c_{5,l} J_{5,\zeta_k} + c_{1l} J_{1,\zeta_k} = 0.$$

or, equivalently,

$$d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}(C_{k+l} + c_{5,l}\sigma_{\zeta_k} + c_{1l}\mu_{\zeta_k}) + c_{3k}c_{1l}J_{1,3} + c_{3k}c_{5,l}J_{3,5} = 0. \tag{28}$$

Eq. (28) is the partial case of Eq. (24) and gives $c_{3k}c_{1l} = c_{3k}c_{5l} = 0$, which implies $c_{1l} = c_{5l} = 0$. ■

In such a way this case leads to the deformation $C^{(3)}_{c_{3,\zeta}}$.

Proposition 4.6. *Let $c_{5k} \neq 0$, $c_{1k} = c_{3k} = 0$ and let $l > k$ be such that*

$$C_s = m_{\zeta_s}$$

for $s < k$,

$$C = C^{(5)}_{c_{5[s]},\zeta_{[s]}} + O(\hbar^{2s+2})$$

for $k \leq s < l$,

$$C = C^{(5)}_{c_{5[l]},\zeta_{[l]}} + \hbar^{2l}(c_{1l}m_1 + c_{3l}m_3) + O(\hbar^{2l+2}).$$

Then $c_{1l} = c_{3l} = 0$.

Proof.

Consider the terms of degree $l + k$ in the decomposition of Eq. (20):

$$d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}(C_{k+l} + C'_{k+l}) + c_{5k}c_{1l}J_{1,5} + c_{5k}c_{3,l}J_{3,5} = 0, \tag{29}$$

where $C'_{k+l} \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D}, \mathbf{D})$ is some bilinear form built from known lower order terms.

Eq. (27) is the partial case of Eq. (24) and gives $c_{5k}c_{1l} = c_{5k}c_{3l} = 0$, which implies $c_{1l} = c_{3l} = 0$. ■

In such a way this case leads to the deformation $C^{(5)}_{c_5,\zeta}$.

Thus, the Theorem 2.1 is proved.

Appendix 1. $I_{1,5}$.

Let $f = e^{zp}$, $g = e^{zq}$, and $h = e^{zr}$ in some vicinity of the point $x \in \mathbb{R}^2$, $z = (x, \xi^1, \xi^2)$.

Because $I_{1,5}$ is local form, we can introduce the polynomial

$$I(p, q, r) \stackrel{def}{=} I_{1,5}(z|f, g, h)e^{-z(p+q+r)}.$$

$I(p, q, r)$ is antisymmetric (in usual, non"super" sense) 8-th - order polynomial of 3 variables, each consists of 1 pair of even and 1 pair of odd components.

Obviously,

$$\{e^{zp}, e^{zq}\} \;=\; [p, q]e^{z(p+q)},$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} [p, q] &\stackrel{def}{=} [p, q]_x + [p, q]_\xi, \\ [p, q]_x &\stackrel{def}{=} p_1q_2 - p_2q_1, \\ [p, q]_\xi &\stackrel{def}{=} -p_3q_3 - p_4q_4. \end{aligned}$$

Direct calculation gives the following form for $I(p,q,r)$:

$$I = I_1 + I_2 + I_3 + I_4 + I_5 + I_6 + I_7 + I_8,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
I_1(p,q,r) &= ([p,q+r]^3 + [p+r,q]^3 - [p,q]^3)r_4r_3 + [p,q]^3(p+q)_4(p+q)_3 + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_2(p,q,r) &= -6r_4r_3[p,q]^2[p,q]_x + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_3(p,q,r) &= 2r_4r_3\{6[p,q](p_2q_1q_2 + p_1p_2q_2)r_1 - 3[p,q](p_2q_1^2 + p_1^2q_2)r_2 +\\
&\quad +(p_2^3q_1 - p_1q_2^3 + 3p_2q_1q_2^2 - 3p_1p_2^2q_2)r_1^2 + 3(p_1^2p_2q_2 - p_2q_1^2q_2)r_1r_2 +\\
&\quad +(p_2q_1^3 - p_1^3q_2)r_2^2\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_4(p,q,r) &= (p_4+q_4)(p_3+q_3)[(q_1^3q_2^2 - p_1^3p_2^2 + 3p_1q_1^2q_2^2 - 3p_1^2p_2^2q_1 +\\
&\quad +p_1^3p_2q_2 - p_2q_1^3q_2 + 3p_1^2q_1q_2^2 - 3p_1p_2^2q_1^2 + 3p_1^2p_2q_1q_2 - 3p_1p_2q_1^2q_2 +\\
&\quad +p_2^2q_1^3 - p_1^3q_2^2)r_2 + (p_1+q_1)^2(p_2^3 - q_2^3)r_1] + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_5(p,q,r) &= (p_4+q_4)(p_3+q_3)[(p_1+q_1)^2(q_1-p_1)r_2^3 +\\
&\quad +3(p_1+q_1)^2(p_2-q_2)r_1r_2^2 + 3(p_1+q_1)(q_2^2-p_2^2)r_1^2r_2 +\\
&\quad +(p_2+q_2)(p_2^2-q_2^2)r_1^3] + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_6(p,q,r) &= -(p_4+q_4+r_4)(p_3+q_3+r_3)[p,q]^3 + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_7(p,q,r) &= (p_4+q_4+r_4)(p_3+q_3+r_3)(p_1+q_1+r_1)^2\{[p,q](3(p_2+q_2)r_2 -\\
&\quad -(p_2+q_2+r_2)^2)\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r),\\
I_8(p,q,r) &= (p_4+q_4+r_4)(p_3+q_3+r_3)\{(4p_2q_2r_1^2 - 2(p_2+q_2)r_1^2r_2 +\\
&\quad +4(p_1+q_1)r_1r_2^2 + 2r_1^2r_2^2)[p,q] +\\
&\quad +(2(p_2^2+q_2^2)r_1^2 + 4p_1q_1r_2^2 - (2p_1p_2 + 4p_1q_2 +\\
&\quad +4p_2q_1 + 2q_1q_2)r_1r_2)[p,q]_\xi\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r).
\end{aligned}$$

Appendix 2. The proof of Proposition 3.1.

A2.1. Low degree filtrations

The following low degree filtrations $\mathcal{P}_{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_s}$ of the polynomials we define as:

Definition 4.1.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{p_1,p_2,\dots,p_s} = \{&f(k_1,k_2,\dots,k_s) \in \mathbb{K}[k_1,\dots,k_s] :\\
&\exists g \in \mathbb{K}[\alpha_1,\alpha_2,\dots,\alpha_s,k_1,\dots,k_s] \quad f(\alpha_1k_1,\alpha_2k_2,\dots) = \alpha_1^{p_1}\alpha_2^{p_2}\dots\alpha_s^{p_s}g\}, \qquad \text{(A2.1)}
\end{aligned}$$

where k_1, k_2, ... , k_s are some sets of supervariables, and α_1, ... , α_s are some even variables.

Evidently, $\mathcal{P}_{p_1,p_2\dots} \subset \mathcal{P}_{q_1,q_2\dots}$ if $p_i \geq q_i$. It is clear also, that if $f \in \mathcal{P}_{p_1,p_2,\dots}$ and $g \in \mathcal{P}_{q_1,q_2,\dots}$ then $fg \in \mathcal{P}_{p_1+q_1,p_2+q_2,\dots}$.

A2.2. The proof of Proposition 3.1

Proposition. *If* $\alpha I_{1,5} = d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}S$, $S \in \mathcal{C}_2(\mathbf{D},\mathbf{E})$, *then* $\alpha = 0$.

Proof. Let us suppose that there exists such bilinear form S that

$$\alpha I_{1,5} = d_2^{\mathrm{ad}}S. \qquad \text{(A2.2)}$$

Consider Eq. (A2.2) in the domain $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathbb{R}^2 \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{D}$, where $(x, f, g, h) \in \mathcal{U}$ if there exists such vicinity V_x of x in $\mathbb{R}^2$, that at least one of the following relations is true:

$$V_x \cap \operatorname{supp} f = \varnothing, \quad V_x \cap \operatorname{supp} g = \varnothing, \quad V_x \cap \operatorname{supp} h = \varnothing,$$
$$\operatorname{supp} f \cap \operatorname{supp} g = \varnothing, \quad \operatorname{supp} g \cap \operatorname{supp} h = \varnothing, \quad \operatorname{supp} h \cap \operatorname{supp} f = \varnothing.$$

Here, as everywhere in present paper, x is even coordinates of z: $z = (x, \xi^1, \xi^2)$.

In this domain, $\hat{I}_{1,5} = 0$, and so $d_2^{\mathrm{ad}} \hat{S} = 0$. In such a way (see [5] for details) S has the form $S = m_\zeta + c_3 m_3 + c_5 m_5 + d_1^{\mathrm{ad}} b^D + S_{\mathrm{loc}}$, where $S_{\mathrm{loc}}(z|f, g) = \sum_{k,l=0}^{N} m^{(A)_k|(B)_l}(z)(\partial_A)^k f(z)(\partial_B)^l g(z)$. Thus Eq. (A2.2) reduces to

$$d_2^{\mathrm{ad}} S_{\mathrm{loc}} = \alpha I_{1,5}. \tag{A2.3}$$

Let $f = e^{zp}$, $g = e^{zq}$ and $h = e^{zr}$ in some vicinity of the point x. Because S_{loc} is local form, we can introduce the polynomial

$$F(z|p, q) \stackrel{def}{=} S_{\mathrm{loc}}(z|e^{zp}, e^{zq}) e^{-z(p+q)} = -F(z|q, p).$$

Then, the equation (A2.3) acquires the form

$$[p, q]\Phi(z|p, q, r) + \{F(z|q, r), zp\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p, q, r) \ = \alpha I(p, q, r), \tag{A2.4}$$

where

$$\Phi(z|p, q, r) = F(z|p + q, r) - F(z|p, r) - F(z|q, r) = \Phi(z|q, p, r).$$

The function $I(p, q, r)$ can be represented in the form

$$I(p, q, r) = \{[p, q]\varphi_0(p, q, r) + \mathrm{cycle}(p, q, r)\} + I_{(1)},$$
$$I_{(1)}(p, q, r) = \{[p, q] r_1^2 r_2^2 r_3 r_4 + \mathrm{cycle}(p, q, r)\} + \{p_A \varphi^A(q, r) + \mathrm{cycle}(p, q, r)\} +$$
$$+ I_{(2)}(p, q, r),$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_0(p, q, r) &= \varphi_0(p + q, r) - \varphi_0(p, r) - \varphi_0(q, r), \\ \varphi_0(p, q) &= p_3 p_4 p_1^2 p_2^2 - q_3 q_4 q_1^2 q_2^2, \\ \varphi^A(p, q) &\in \mathcal{P}_{2,2}, \ I_{(2)}(p, q, r) \in \mathcal{P}_{2,2,2}. \end{aligned}$$

Further, represent $F(z|p, q)$ and $\Phi(z|p, q, r)$ in the form

$$F(z|p, q) = \varphi_0(p, q) + F_0(z|p, q) + F_1(z|p, q),$$
$$\Phi(z|p, q, r) = \varphi_0(p, q, r) + \Phi_0(z|p, q, r) + \Phi_1(z|p, q, r),$$
$$F_0(z|p, q) = G(z|p) - G(z|q), \ G(z|p) \in \mathcal{P}_1, \ F_1(z|p, q) \in \mathcal{P}_{1,1},$$
$$\Phi_0(z|p, q, r) = G(z|p + q) - G(z|p) - G(z|q) + G(z|r),$$
$$\Phi_1(z|p, q, r) = F_1(z|p + q, r) - F_1(z|p, r) - F_1(z|q, r).$$

Then we have

$$[p, q]\{\Phi_0(z|p, q, r) + \Phi_1(z|p, q, r)\} + \{F_0(z|p, q), zr) +$$
$$+ \{F_1(z|p, q), zr\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p, q, r) = \alpha I_{(1)}(p, q, r). \tag{A2.5}$$

Consider Eq. (A2.5) for $r = 0$:

$$[p,q]\{G(z|p+q) - G(z|p) - G(z|q)\} + \\ +\{G(z|q), zp\} - \{G(z|p), zq\} = 0.$$

According to [4], it follows from this equation that $F_0(z|p,q)$ is equal to coboundary up to term $\in \mathcal{P}_{1,1}$ which we include in $F_1(z|p,q)$. So, we obtain

$$[p,q]\Phi_1(z|p,q,r)\} + \{F_1(z|p,q), zr\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r) = \alpha I_{(1)}(p,q,r). \qquad \text{(A2.6)}$$

$F_1(z|p,q)$ is a polynomial of some finite order Q, $I_{(1)}(p,q,r)$ is a homogeneous polynomial of the eighth order. Let $F_{1(Q)}(z|p,q)$ be the terms of the Q-th order. For $Q \geq 7$ we have

$$[p,q]\Phi_{1(Q)}(z|p,q,r) + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r) = 0.$$

According to [4], it follows from this equation that $F_{1(Q)}(z|p,q)$ is equal to coboundary up to terms of orders $\leq Q-1$. So we find that $F_1(z|p,q) = F_{1(6)}(z|p,q)$ up to coboundary and terms of orders ≤ 5. Thus we obtain from Eq. (A2.6)

$$[p,q]\Phi_{1(6)}(z|p,q,r)\} + \mathrm{cycle}(p,q,r) = \alpha I_{(1)}(p,q,r). \qquad \text{(A2.7)}$$

Consider the terms of the first order in r in Eq. (A2.7):

$$[p,q][F^A_{1(5)}(z|p+q) - F^A_{1(5)}(z|p) - F^A_{1(5)}(z|q)] = -\alpha I^A_{(1)}(p,q), \qquad \text{(A2.8)}$$
$$F^A_1(z|p) = F_1(z|p,r)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial r_A}\bigg|_{r=0}, \; I^A_{(1)}(z|p,q) = I_{(1)}(p,q,r)\frac{\overleftarrow{\partial}}{\partial r_A}\bigg|_{r=0}.$$

In Eq. (A2.8), consider the terms of the type pq^6 and qp^6. In this case, the l.h.s. of Eq. (A2.8) equals to zero and we obtain

$$0 = \alpha(q_A p_1^2 p_2^2 p_4 p_3 - p_A q_1^2 q_2^2 q_4 q_3).$$

So, $\alpha = 0$. ■

References

[1] *S. E. Konstein, A. G. Smirnov and I. V. Tyutin,* General form of the deformation of the Poisson superbracket, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **148** (2006), 1011; hep-th/0401023.

[2] *S. E. Konstein and I. V. Tyutin*, General form of the deformation of Poisson superbracket on (2,n)-dimensional superspace, hep-th/0610308.

[3] *S. E. Konstein and I. V. Tyutin*, Cohomology of the Poisson superalgebra on spaces of superdimension $(2, n_-)$, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **145** (2005), 1619; hep-th/0411235.

[4] *D.Leites, I.Shchepochkina*, How to quantize the antibracket, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **126** (2001) 339.

[5] *S. E. Konstein, A. G. Smirnov and I. V. Tyutin,* Cohomologies of the Poisson superalgebra, Teor. Mat. Fiz., **143** (2005), 625; hep-th/0312109.